

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Secretary  
DCI Security Committee

SUBJECT: Vote Sheet Action

REFERENCE: Revision of DCID 1/7  
"Control of Dissemination of  
Intelligence Information"

1. In regard to the referenced matter:

a. I concur with the draft revision  
of DCID 1/7 as written. \_\_\_\_\_

b. I concur with the addition of the  
NSA proposed addition of paragraph 6f to  
the written draft of DCID 1/7. \_\_\_\_\_

c. I cannot concur with either pro-  
posed actions for reasons noted in para-  
graph 2 below. \_\_\_\_\_

2. Comments/Recommendations:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Title



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350

IN REPLY REFER TO  
Ser 009DB/252296  
8 May 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHAIRMAN, DIRECTOR CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE  
SECURITY COMMITTEE

Subj: Revision of DCID 1/7, "Control of Dissemination of  
Intelligence Information"

Ref: (a) Chairman SECOM memo SECOM-D-504 of 26 Apr 79

1. Reference (a) forwarded a draft copy of DCID 1/7 and  
requested a review and comments.

2. The Department of the Navy concurs with the draft  
revision to DCID 1/7, subject to the following comment:

Page 6, paragraph 6b(2), change next to last sentence to  
read as follows:

"As this is the most restrictive marking herein, no other of  
these markings shall be used in conjunction with this  
marking. Also, agencies will establish procedures to ensure  
that this marking is only applied to particularly sensitive  
intelligence and that timely procedures are established to  
review requests for further dissemination of intelligence  
bearing this marking."

Reason: To avoid confusion as to the extent of dissem-  
ination and use restrictions as well as reducing the use of  
markings applied to documents.

3. The following comments are provided for consideration.

a. Page 5 paragraph 6a(2) next to last sentence: The  
prohibition on the use of WNINTEL in conjunction with other  
SCI controls may be in violation with paragraph H2 of ISOO  
Directive number 1.

b. Page 5, footnote number 5: There seems to be no  
purpose for the footnote. Originators have always had the  
option to disseminate at their discretion. It would appear  
and perhaps be more desirable if the headquarters of the  
recipient intelligence organization, could at its discretion  
include subordinate elements.

Ser 009DB/252296

c. Page 7, paragraph 6f, add the following sentence:

"In the case of intelligence controlled under DCID 6/2, authorized distribution indicators, published separately may be used instead of the REL control marking."

Reason: This fix will permit NSA to continue to conceal the existence of exchange agreements.



R. L. WELCH  
NAVY MEMBER  
DCI Security Committee